

H_p -THEORY FOR CONTINUOUS FILTRATIONS

MATHILDE PERRIN

Joint work with Marius JUNGE

March 15, 2011

- **Aim:** To study martingales with respect to continuous filtrations in the setting of von Neumann algebras (VNA).

- **Long term goal:** To develop a theory of stochastic integration in VNA.
 - Classical probabilities:stochastic calculus, stochastic integrals, Ito formula, martingales with continuous parameter are well known.
 - Quantum stochastic calculus is also well developed.
 - VNA setting:theory of discrete martingales is now well developed.

- **Aim:** To study martingales with respect to continuous filtrations in the setting of von Neumann algebras (VNA).

- **Long term goal:** To develop a theory of stochastic integration in VNA.
 - Classical probabilities:stochastic calculus, stochastic integrals, Ito formula, martingales with continuous parameter are well known.
 - Quantum stochastic calculus is also well developed.
 - VNA setting:theory of discrete martingales is now well developed.

- **Aim:** To study martingales with respect to continuous filtrations in the setting of von Neumann algebras (VNA).

- **Long term goal:** To develop a theory of stochastic integration in VNA.
 - Classical probabilities:stochastic calculus, stochastic integrals, Ito formula, martingales with continuous parameter are well known.
 - Quantum stochastic calculus is also well developed.
 - VNA setting:theory of discrete martingales is now well developed.

- **Aim:** To study martingales with respect to continuous filtrations in the setting of von Neumann algebras (VNA).

- **Long term goal:** To develop a theory of stochastic integration in VNA.
 - Classical probabilities:stochastic calculus, stochastic integrals, Ito formula, martingales with continuous parameter are well known.
 - Quantum stochastic calculus is also well developed.
 - VNA setting:theory of discrete martingales is now well developed.

- **Aim:** To study martingales with respect to continuous filtrations in the setting of von Neumann algebras (VNA).

- **Long term goal:** To develop a theory of stochastic integration in VNA.
 - Classical probabilities:stochastic calculus, stochastic integrals, Ito formula, martingales with continuous parameter are well known.
 - Quantum stochastic calculus is also well developed.
 - VNA setting:theory of discrete martingales is now well developed.

- **Aim:** To study martingales with respect to continuous filtrations in the setting of von Neumann algebras (VNA).

- **Long term goal:** To develop a theory of stochastic integration in VNA.
 - Classical probabilities:stochastic calculus, stochastic integrals, Ito formula, martingales with continuous parameter are well known.
 - Quantum stochastic calculus is also well developed.
 - VNA setting:theory of discrete martingales is now well developed.
Continuous case? Stochastic integration?

Noncommutative setting:

We consider a finite von Neumann algebra N with a normal faithful normalized trace τ .

Noncommutative setting:

We consider a finite von Neumann algebra N with a normal faithful normalized trace τ .

Problem

We cannot define the stochastic integral pathwise.

Noncommutative setting:

We consider a finite von Neumann algebra N with a normal faithful normalized trace τ .

Problem

We cannot define the stochastic integral pathwise.

Note that in the classical case the convergence of the stochastic integrals is closely related to the existence of the quadratic variation bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$. via the formula

$$X_t Y_t = \int^t X_{s-} dY_s + \int^t Y_{s-} dX_s + [X, Y]_t,$$

where $[X, Y]_t = X_0 Y_0 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq k < 2^n} (X_{t \frac{k+1}{2^n}} - X_{t \frac{k}{2^n}})(Y_{t \frac{k+1}{2^n}} - Y_{t \frac{k}{2^n}})$

(convergence in probability).

Noncommutative setting:

We consider a finite von Neumann algebra N with a normal faithful normalized trace τ .

Problem

We cannot define the stochastic integral pathwise.

Note that in the classical case the convergence of the stochastic integrals is closely related to the existence of the quadratic variation bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ via the formula

$$X_t Y_t = \int^t X_{s-} dY_s + \int^t Y_{s-} dX_s + [X, Y]_t,$$

where $[X, Y]_t = X_0 Y_0 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq k < 2^n} (X_{t \frac{k+1}{2^n}} - X_{t \frac{k}{2^n}})(Y_{t \frac{k+1}{2^n}} - Y_{t \frac{k}{2^n}})$

(convergence in probability).

We first study this bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and in particular its $L_{p/2}$ -norm by considering the Hardy spaces H_p defined in the classical case by

$$\|x\|_{H_p} = \|[x, x]\|_{p/2}^{1/2}.$$

Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration and (E_k) be the associated conditional expectations. Recall that a **discrete noncommutative martingale** is a sequence $x = (x_k)$ in $L_1(N)$ such that $E_k(x_{k+1}) = x_k$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Definition (Discrete Hardy spaces)

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- H_p^c is the completion of all finite L_p -martingales x for

$$\|x\|_{H_p^c} = \left\| \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} |d_k x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p, \quad \text{where } d_k x = x_k - x_{k-1}.$$

- $H_p^r = \{x : x^* \in H_p^c\}$
- $H_p = \begin{cases} H_p^c + H_p^r & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ H_p^c \cap H_p^r & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$.

Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration and (E_k) be the associated conditional expectations. Recall that a **discrete noncommutative martingale** is a sequence $x = (x_k)$ in $L_1(N)$ such that $E_k(x_{k+1}) = x_k$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Definition (Discrete Hardy spaces)

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- H_p^c is the completion of all finite L_p -martingales x for

$$\|x\|_{H_p^c} = \left\| \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} |d_k x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p, \quad \text{where } d_k x = x_k - x_{k-1}.$$

- $H_p^r = \{x : x^* \in H_p^c\}$
- $H_p = \begin{cases} H_p^c + H_p^r & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ H_p^c \cap H_p^r & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$.

Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration and (E_k) be the associated conditional expectations. Recall that a **discrete noncommutative martingale** is a sequence $x = (x_k)$ in $L_1(N)$ such that $E_k(x_{k+1}) = x_k$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Definition (Discrete Hardy spaces)

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- H_p^c is the completion of all finite L_p -martingales x for

$$\|x\|_{H_p^c} = \left\| \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} |d_k x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p, \quad \text{where } d_k x = x_k - x_{k-1}.$$

- $H_p^r = \{x : x^* \in H_p^c\}$

$$H_p = \begin{cases} H_p^c + H_p^r & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ H_p^c \cap H_p^r & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}.$$

Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration and (E_k) be the associated conditional expectations. Recall that a **discrete noncommutative martingale** is a sequence $x = (x_k)$ in $L_1(N)$ such that $E_k(x_{k+1}) = x_k$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Definition (Discrete Hardy spaces)

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- H_p^c is the completion of all finite L_p -martingales x for

$$\|x\|_{H_p^c} = \left\| \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} |d_k x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p, \quad \text{where } d_k x = x_k - x_{k-1}.$$

- $H_p^r = \{x : x^* \in H_p^c\}$

$$\bullet H_p = \begin{cases} H_p^c + H_p^r & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ H_p^c \cap H_p^r & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}.$$

Known results in the discrete case

Burkholder-Gundy Theorem (Pisier-Xu ('97), Junge-Xu ('03))

$H_p = L_p(N)$ holds with equivalent norms for $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem (Pisier-Xu('97), Junge-Xu ('03))

Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then H_p^c is complemented in $L_p(N; \ell_2^c)$.

Hence $(H_p^c)^* = H_{p'}^c$, for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Fefferman-Stein duality (Pisier-Xu ('97) for $p = 1$, Junge-Xu ('03))

Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then

$$(H_p^c)^* = L_{p'}^c MO,$$

where $L_{p'}^c MO = \{x \in L_2(N) : \|x\|_{L_{p'}^c MO} < \infty\}$ and

$\|x\|_{L_{p'}^c MO} = \|\sup_k^+ E_k |x - x_{k-1}|^2\|_{p'/2}^{1/2}$. (If $p' = \infty$, we denote BMO^c .)

Known results in the discrete case

Burkholder-Gundy Theorem (Pisier-Xu ('97), Junge-Xu ('03))

$H_p = L_p(N)$ holds with equivalent norms for $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem (Pisier-Xu('97), Junge-Xu ('03))

Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then H_p^c is complemented in $L_p(N; \ell_2^c)$.

Hence $(H_p^c)^* = H_{p'}^c$, for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Fefferman-Stein duality (Pisier-Xu ('97) for $p = 1$, Junge-Xu ('03))

Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then

$$(H_p^c)^* = L_{p'}^c MO,$$

where $L_{p'}^c MO = \{x \in L_2(N) : \|x\|_{L_{p'}^c MO} < \infty\}$ and

$\|x\|_{L_{p'}^c MO} = \|\sup_k^+ E_k |x - x_{k-1}|^2\|_{p'/2}^{1/2}$. (If $p' = \infty$, we denote BMO^c .)

Known results in the discrete case

Burkholder-Gundy Theorem (Pisier-Xu ('97), Junge-Xu ('03))

$H_p = L_p(N)$ holds with equivalent norms for $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem (Pisier-Xu('97), Junge-Xu ('03))

Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then H_p^c is complemented in $L_p(N; \ell_2^c)$.

Hence $(H_p^c)^* = H_{p'}^c$, for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Fefferman-Stein duality (Pisier-Xu ('97) for $p = 1$, Junge-Xu ('03))

Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then

$$(H_p^c)^* = L_{p'}^c MO,$$

where $L_{p'}^c MO = \{x \in L_2(N) : \|x\|_{L_{p'}^c MO} < \infty\}$ and

$\|x\|_{L_{p'}^c MO} = \|\sup_k^+ E_k |x - x_{k-1}|^2\|_{p'/2}^{1/2}$. (If $p' = \infty$, we denote BMO^c .)

Definition of \mathcal{H}_p -spaces in the continuous case

Let $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a right continuous filtration and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We fix an interval $[0, T]$ and consider a finite partition

$\sigma = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T\}$. Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter refining the general net of partitions given by inclusion.

Then we can define two norms on N :

- 1 We consider the finite bracket $[x, x]_T^\sigma = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} |d_{t_k} x|^2$, where $d_{t_k} x = E_{t_k}(x) - E_{t_{k-1}}(x)$. We may simply define

$$[x, x]_T = w^* \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} [x, x]_T^\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_p} = \|w^* \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} [x, x]_T^\sigma\|_{p/2}^{1/2}.$$

- 2 Natural version:

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|[x, x]_T^\sigma\|_{p/2}^{1/2} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)}.$$

Definition of \mathcal{H}_p -spaces in the continuous case

Let $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a right continuous filtration and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We fix an interval $[0, T]$ and consider a finite partition

$\sigma = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T\}$. Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter refining the general net of partitions given by inclusion.

Then we can define two norms on N :

- 1 We consider the finite bracket $[x, x]_T^\sigma = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} |d_{t_k} x|^2$, where $d_{t_k} x = E_{t_k}(x) - E_{t_{k-1}}(x)$. We may simply define

$$[x, x]_T = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} [x, x]_T^\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^c} = \|w^* \text{-} \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} [x, x]_T^\sigma\|_{p/2}^{1/2}.$$

- 2 Natural version:

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^c} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|[x, x]_T^\sigma\|_{p/2}^{1/2} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)}.$$

Definition of \mathcal{H}_p -spaces in the continuous case

Let $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a right continuous filtration and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We fix an interval $[0, T]$ and consider a finite partition

$\sigma = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T\}$. Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter refining the general net of partitions given by inclusion.

Then we can define two norms on N :

- 1 We consider the finite bracket $[x, x]_T^\sigma = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} |d_{t_k} x|^2$, where $d_{t_k} x = E_{t_k}(x) - E_{t_{k-1}}(x)$. We may simply define

$$[x, x]_T = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} [x, x]_T^\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^c} = \|w^* \text{-} \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} [x, x]_T^\sigma\|_{p/2}^{1/2}.$$

- 2 Natural version:

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^c} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|[x, x]_T^\sigma\|_{p/2}^{1/2} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)}.$$

Definition of \mathcal{H}_p -spaces in the continuous case

Let $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a right continuous filtration and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We fix an interval $[0, T]$ and consider a finite partition $\sigma = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T\}$. Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter refining the general net of partitions given by inclusion.

Then we can define two norms on N :

- 1 We consider the finite bracket $[x, x]_T^\sigma = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} |d_{t_k} x|^2$, where $d_{t_k} x = E_{t_k}(x) - E_{t_{k-1}}(x)$. We may simply define

$$[x, x]_T = w^* \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} [x, x]_T^\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^c} = \|w^* \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} [x, x]_T^\sigma\|_{p/2}^{1/2}.$$

- 2 Natural version:

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^c} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|[x, x]_T^\sigma\|_{p/2}^{1/2} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)}.$$

\Rightarrow Taking the corresponding completions we define $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^c$ with limit inside and \mathcal{H}_p^c with limit outside.

Main result

Lemma

Let $x \in N$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^c} \approx \begin{cases} \sup_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ \inf_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem

- Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then \mathcal{H}_p^c is independent of the choice of the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} .
- $\mathcal{H}_p^c = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^c$ hold with equivalent norms for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then $(\mathcal{H}_p^c)^* = \mathcal{H}_{p'}^c$.

Main result

Lemma

Let $x \in N$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^c} \approx \begin{cases} \sup_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ \inf_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem

- 1 Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then \mathcal{H}_p^c is independent of the choice of the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} .
- 2 $\mathcal{H}_p^c = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^c$ hold with equivalent norms for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- 3 Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then $(\mathcal{H}_p^c)^* = \mathcal{H}_{p'}^c$.

Main result

Lemma

Let $x \in N$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^c} \approx \begin{cases} \sup_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ \inf_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem

- 1 Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then \mathcal{H}_p^c is independent of the choice of the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} .
- 2 $\mathcal{H}_p^c = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^c$ hold with equivalent norms for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- 3 Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then $(\mathcal{H}_p^c)^* = \mathcal{H}_{p'}^c$.

Main result

Lemma

Let $x \in N$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^c} \approx \begin{cases} \sup_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ \inf_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem

- 1 Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then \mathcal{H}_p^c is independent of the choice of the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} .
- 2 $\mathcal{H}_p^c = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^c$ hold with equivalent norms for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- 3 Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then $(\mathcal{H}_p^c)^* = \mathcal{H}_{p'}^c$.

Main result

Lemma

Let $x \in N$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p^c} \approx \begin{cases} \sup_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ \inf_{\sigma} \|x\|_{H_p^c(\sigma)} & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem

- 1 Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then \mathcal{H}_p^c is independent of the choice of the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} .
- 2 $\mathcal{H}_p^c = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^c$ hold with equivalent norms for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- 3 Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then $(\mathcal{H}_p^c)^* = \mathcal{H}_{p'}^c$.

Burkholder-Gundy Theorem

Burkholder-Gundy Theorem (Continuous version)

$\mathcal{H}_p = L_p(N)$ holds with equivalent norms for $1 < p < \infty$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_p^c + \mathcal{H}_p^r & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ \mathcal{H}_p^c \cap \mathcal{H}_p^r & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases} .$$

Fefferman-Stein duality

Fefferman-Stein duality (Continuous version)

$(\mathcal{H}_1^c)^* = \mathcal{BMO}^c$ holds with equivalent norms.

Fefferman-Stein duality

Fefferman-Stein duality (Continuous version)

$(\mathcal{H}_1^c)^* = \mathcal{BMO}^c$ holds with equivalent norms.

What is the good definition of the space \mathcal{BMO}^c in the continuous setting?

Fefferman-Stein duality

Fefferman-Stein duality (Continuous version)

$(\mathcal{H}_1^c)^* = \mathcal{BMO}^c$ holds with equivalent norms.

What is the good definition of the space \mathcal{BMO}^c in the continuous setting?

Naive candidate for the \mathcal{BMO}^c -norm:

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c(\sigma)}, \quad \text{where } \|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c(\sigma)} = \sup_{t \in \sigma} \|E_t |x_T - x_{t-}|^2\|_{\infty}^{1/2}.$$

Fefferman-Stein duality

Fefferman-Stein duality (Continuous version)

$(\mathcal{H}_1^c)^* = \mathcal{BMO}^c$ holds with equivalent norms.

What is the good definition of the space \mathcal{BMO}^c in the continuous setting?

Naive candidate for the \mathcal{BMO}^c -norm:

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c(\sigma)}, \quad \text{where } \|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c(\sigma)} = \sup_{t \in \sigma} \|E_t |x_T - x_{t-}|^2\|_{\infty}^{1/2}.$$

→ **Problem:** Since we only consider finite partitions, if $\|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c(\sigma)} < \infty$ for some σ , then $x \in N...$

Fefferman-Stein duality

Fefferman-Stein duality (Continuous version)

$(\mathcal{H}_1^c)^* = \mathcal{BMO}^c$ holds with equivalent norms.

What is the good definition of the space \mathcal{BMO}^c in the continuous setting?

Naive candidate for the \mathcal{BMO}^c -norm:

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c(\sigma)}, \quad \text{where } \|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c(\sigma)} = \sup_{t \in \sigma} \|E_t |x_T - x_{t-}|^2\|_{\infty}^{1/2}.$$

→ **Problem:** Since we only consider finite partitions, if $\|x\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c(\sigma)} < \infty$ for some σ , then $x \in N \dots$

Definition

The unit ball of \mathcal{BMO}^c is given by the L_2 -closure of

$$\{x = w^* - \lim_{\sigma} x(\sigma); \lim_{\sigma} \|x(\sigma)\|_{\mathcal{BMO}^c(\sigma)} \leq 1\}.$$

Burkholder Theorem/Davis' decomposition: Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration.

Definition

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- The conditioned Hardy space h_p^c is the completion of all finite L_∞ -martingales x for $\|x\|_{h_p^c} = \left\| \left(\sum_k E_{k-1}(|d_k x|^2) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p$.
- $h_p^r = \{x : x^* \in h_p^c\}$.
- The diagonal Hardy space h_p^d is the subspace of $\ell_p(L_p(N))$ consisting of martingale difference sequences.
- $h_p = \begin{cases} h_p^c + h_p^r + h_p^d & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ h_p^c \cap h_p^r \cap h_p^d & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$.

Burkholder Theorem/Davis' decomposition: Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration.

Definition

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- The conditioned Hardy space h_p^c is the completion of all finite L_∞ -martingales x for $\|x\|_{h_p^c} = \left\| \left(\sum_k E_{k-1}(|d_k x|^2) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p$.
- $h_p^r = \{x : x^* \in h_p^c\}$.
- The diagonal Hardy space h_p^d is the subspace of $\ell_p(L_p(N))$ consisting of martingale difference sequences.
- $h_p = \begin{cases} h_p^c + h_p^r + h_p^d & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ h_p^c \cap h_p^r \cap h_p^d & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$.

Burkholder Theorem/Davis' decomposition: Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration.

Definition

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- The conditioned Hardy space h_p^c is the completion of all finite L_∞ -martingales x for $\|x\|_{h_p^c} = \left\| \left(\sum_k E_{k-1}(|d_k x|^2) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p$.
- $h_p^r = \{x : x^* \in h_p^c\}$.
- The diagonal Hardy space h_p^d is the subspace of $\ell_p(L_p(N))$ consisting of martingale difference sequences.
- $h_p = \begin{cases} h_p^c + h_p^r + h_p^d & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ h_p^c \cap h_p^r \cap h_p^d & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$.

Burkholder Theorem/Davis' decomposition: Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration.

Definition

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- The conditioned Hardy space h_p^c is the completion of all finite L_∞ -martingales x for $\|x\|_{h_p^c} = \left\| \left(\sum_k E_{k-1}(|d_k x|^2) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p$.
- $h_p^r = \{x : x^* \in h_p^c\}$.
- The diagonal Hardy space h_p^d is the subspace of $\ell_p(L_p(N))$ consisting of martingale difference sequences.

- $$h_p = \begin{cases} h_p^c + h_p^r + h_p^d & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ h_p^c \cap h_p^r \cap h_p^d & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$$

Burkholder Theorem/Davis' decomposition: Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration.

Definition

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- The conditioned Hardy space h_p^c is the completion of all finite L_∞ -martingales x for $\|x\|_{h_p^c} = \left\| \left(\sum_k E_{k-1}(|d_k x|^2) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p$.
- $h_p^r = \{x : x^* \in h_p^c\}$.
- The diagonal Hardy space h_p^d is the subspace of $\ell_p(L_p(N))$ consisting of martingale difference sequences.
- $h_p = \begin{cases} h_p^c + h_p^r + h_p^d & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ h_p^c \cap h_p^r \cap h_p^d & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$.

Burkholder Theorem/Davis' decomposition: Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration.

Burkholder Theorem (Junge-Xu ('03))

$h_p = L_p(N)$ holds with equivalent norms for $1 < p < \infty$.

Burkholder Theorem/Davis' decomposition: Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration.

Burkholder Theorem (Junge-Xu ('03))

$h_p = L_p(N)$ holds with equivalent norms for $1 < p < \infty$.

Combined with Burkholder-Gundy Theorem $\Rightarrow h_p = H_p$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Burkholder Theorem/Davis' decomposition: Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration.

Burkholder Theorem (Junge-Xu ('03))

$h_p = L_p(N)$ holds with equivalent norms for $1 < p < \infty$.

Combined with Burkholder-Gundy Theorem $\Rightarrow h_p = H_p$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Using a dual approach we can prove that this also holds for $p = 1$

(Junge-Mei, P., '09), and we get a column version of Davis' decomposition:

$$H_p^c = \begin{cases} h_p^c + h_p^d & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ h_p^c \cap h_p^d & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$$

Burkholder Theorem/Davis' decomposition: Discrete case

Let $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a discrete filtration.

Burkholder Theorem (Junge-Xu ('03))

$h_p = L_p(N)$ holds with equivalent norms for $1 < p < \infty$.

Combined with Burkholder-Gundy Theorem $\Rightarrow h_p = H_p$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Using a dual approach we can prove that this also holds for $p = 1$

(Junge-Mei, P., '09), and we get a column version of Davis' decomposition:

$$H_p^c = \begin{cases} h_p^c + h_p^d & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ h_p^c \cap h_p^d & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow we can actually prove a stronger Davis' decomposition

$$H_p^c = h_p^c + h_p^{1c} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2,$$

where the spaces h_p^{1c} have a nice regularity property : $h_p^{1c} \subset h_q^{1c}$ for $q \leq p$.

Davis' decomposition: Continuous case

In the continuous situation we define the norms

$$\|x\|_{h_p^i} = \lim_{\sigma, \mathcal{U}} \|x\|_{h_p^i(\sigma)} \quad \text{for } i = c, d, 1_c \quad \text{and } 1 \leq p < 2.$$

By a nonstandard analysis approach, we first prove results for ultraproduct spaces, then use regularization process, and finally we take the w^* -limit (ie the standard part) to get

Theorem

Let $1 \leq p < 2$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}_p^c = h_p^c + h_p^{1_c} = h_p^c + h_p^d.$$

Randrianantoanina's decomposition for conditioned square functions

Let $(N_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ be a discrete filtration.

Theorem (Randrianantoanina ('05))

Let $x \in L_2(N)$. Then there exists a decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ such that $\|x_i\|_2 \leq C\|x\|_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m E_{k-1} |d_k(x_1)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{1,\infty} + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m E_{k-1} |d_k(x_2)^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{1,\infty} \\ & + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m e_{k,k} \otimes d_k(x_3) \right\|_{L_{1,\infty}(B(\ell_2^m) \overline{\otimes} N)} \leq C\|x\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Randrianantoanina's decomposition for conditioned square functions

Let $(N_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ be a discrete filtration.

Theorem (Randrianantoanina ('05))

Let $x \in L_2(N)$. Then there exists a decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ such that $\|x_i\|_2 \leq C\|x\|_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m E_{k-1} |d_k(x_1)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{1,\infty} + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^m E_{k-1} |d_k(x_2)^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{1,\infty} \\ & + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m e_{k,k} \otimes d_k(x_3) \right\|_{L_{1,\infty}(B(\ell_2^m) \overline{\otimes} N)} \leq C\|x\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Let $1 < p < 2$ and $x \in L_2(N)$. Then there exists a decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ such that $\|x_i\|_2 \leq f_p(\|x\|_2, \|x\|_p)$ and

$$\|x_1\|_{h_p^c} + \|x_2\|_{h_p^r} + \|x_3\|_{h_p^d} \leq C(p)\|x\|_p.$$

Randrianantoanina's decomposition for conditioned square functions: column version

Corollary

Let $(N_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ be a discrete filtration. Let $1 < p < 8/7$ and $x \in L_2(N)$. Then there exists a decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2$ such that $\|x_i\|_2 \leq f_p(\|x\|_2, \|x\|_p)$ and

$$\|x_1\|_{h_p^c} + \|x_2\|_{h_p^d} \leq C(p)\|x\|_{H_p^c}.$$

Theorem (Continuous case)

Let $1 < p < 2$. Then $\mathcal{H}_p^c \stackrel{C(p)}{=} h_p^c \diamond h_p^d$, where $h_p^c \diamond h_p^d$ is the completion of $L_2(N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|x\|_p = \inf \|x_1\|_{h_p^c} + \|x_2\|_{h_p^d},$$

where the infimum runs over all decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in L_2(N)$, $x_2 \in L_2(N) \cap h_p^d$.

Randrianantoanina's decomposition for conditioned square functions: column version

Corollary

Let $(N_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ be a discrete filtration. Let $1 < p < 8/7$ and $x \in L_2(N)$. Then there exists a decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2$ such that $\|x_i\|_2 \leq f_p(\|x\|_2, \|x\|_p)$ and

$$\|x_1\|_{h_p^c} + \|x_2\|_{h_p^d} \leq C(p)\|x\|_{H_p^c}.$$

Theorem (Continuous case)

Let $1 < p < 2$. Then $\mathcal{H}_p^c \stackrel{C(p)}{=} h_p^c \diamond h_p^d$, where $h_p^c \diamond h_p^d$ is the completion of $L_2(N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|x\|_p = \inf \|x_1\|_{h_p^c} + \|x_2\|_{h_p^d},$$

where the infimum runs over all decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in L_2(N)$, $x_2 \in L_2(N) \cap h_p^d$.

Fefferman-Stein duality

We don't know how to describe the dual space of h_p^d .

Observation: $h_p^c \diamond h_p^d = h_p^c \diamond K_p(t; h_p^d, L_2(N))$ for all $t \geq 1$, where $K_p(t; L_2(N), h_p^d)$ is the completion of L_2 with respect to the norm

$$\|x\|_{K_p(t; h_p^d, L_2(N))} = \inf \|x_1\|_{h_p^d} + t\|x_2\|_2,$$

where the infimum runs over all decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in L_2(N) \cap h_p^d, x_2 \in L_2(N)$.

Fefferman-Stein duality

We don't know how to describe the dual space of h_p^d .

Observation: $h_p^c \diamond h_p^d = h_p^c \diamond K_p(t; h_p^d, L_2(N))$ for all $t \geq 1$, where $K_p(t; L_2(N), h_p^d)$ is the completion of L_2 with respect to the norm

$$\|x\|_{K_p(t; h_p^d, L_2(N))} = \inf \|x_1\|_{h_p^d} + t\|x_2\|_2,$$

where the infimum runs over all decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in L_2(N) \cap h_p^d, x_2 \in L_2(N)$.

Theorem

Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then

$$B_{(\mathcal{H}_p^c)^*} = \{x \in L_2 : \|x\|_{L_{p'}^c, \text{mo}} \leq 1, x = L_2\text{-}\lim_{\lambda} x_{\lambda}, \|x_{\lambda}\|_2 \leq K, \|x_{\lambda}\|_{h_{p'}^d} \leq 1\}$$

with equivalent norms.

Further results:

- Complementation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces in larger ultraproduct spaces, which have L_p -module structures.
- Interpolation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces.
- Atomic decompositions.

Further results:

- Complementation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces in larger ultraproduct spaces, which have L_p -module structures.
- Interpolation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces.
- Atomic decompositions.

Further results:

- Complementation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces in larger ultraproduct spaces, which have L_p -module structures.
- Interpolation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces.
- Atomic decompositions.

Further results:

- Complementation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces in larger ultraproduct spaces, which have L_p -module structures.
- Interpolation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces.
- Atomic decompositions.

CONCLUSION : We obtained the good definitions of \mathcal{H}_p spaces in the (noncommutative) continuous setting, which make true the expected results.

Further results:

- Complementation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces in larger ultraproduct spaces, which have L_p -module structures.
- Interpolation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces.
- Atomic decompositions.

CONCLUSION : We obtained the good definitions of \mathcal{H}_p spaces in the (noncommutative) continuous setting, which make true the expected results.

APPLICATIONS AND PERSPECTIVES : Interpolation of semigroups, convergence of stochastic integrals in the setting of VNA.

Further results:

- Complementation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces in larger ultraproduct spaces, which have L_p -module structures.
- Interpolation of \mathcal{H}_p spaces.
- Atomic decompositions.

CONCLUSION : We obtained the good definitions of \mathcal{H}_p spaces in the (noncommutative) continuous setting, which make true the expected results.

APPLICATIONS AND PERSPECTIVES : Interpolation of semigroups, convergence of stochastic integrals in the setting of VNA.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION